INVARIANT DISTRIBUTIONS ON NON-DISTINGUISHED NILPOTENT ORBITS WITH APPLICATION TO THE GELFAND PROPERTY OF $(GL_{2n}(\mathbb{R}), Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$

AVRAHAM AIZENBUD AND EITAN SAYAG

Abstract. We study invariant distributions on the tangent space to a symmetric space. We prove that an invariant distribution with the property that both its support and the support of its Fourier transform are contained in the set of non-distinguished nilpotent orbits, must vanish. We deduce, using recent developments in the theory of invariant distributions on symmetric spaces that the symmetric pair $(GL_{2n}(\mathbb{R}), Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$ is a Gelfand pair. More precisely, we show that for any irreducible smooth admissible Fréchet representation (π, E) of $GL_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ the space of continuous functionals $Hom_{Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{R})}(E, \mathbb{C})$ is at most one dimensional. Such a result was previously proven for p-adic fields in [HR] for $\mathbb{C}$ in [S].

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Main ingredients of the proof 2
1.2. Structure of the paper 2
1.3. Acknowledgements. 3
2. Preliminaries 3
2.1. Notations on invariant distributions 3
2.2. Gelfand pairs and invariant distributions 4
2.3. Symmetric pairs 5
2.4. Singular support of distributions 7
3. Invariant distributions supported on non-distinguished nilpotent orbits in symmetric pairs 8
4. Regularity 9
4.1. H orbits on $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ 9
4.2. Proof of Theorem C 10
References 10

Date: October 10, 2008.
Key words and phrases. Symmetric pair, Gelfand pair, Symplectic group, Non-distinguished orbits, Multiplicity one, Invariant distribution, Co-isotropic subvariety.
MSC Classification: 20G05, 22E45, 20C99, 46F10.

1. Introduction

Let (V, ω) be a symplectic vector space over $\mathbb{R}$. Consider the standard imbedding $Sp(V, \omega) \subset GL(V)$ and the natural action of $Sp(V, \omega) \times Sp(V, \omega)$ on $GL(V)$. In this paper we prove the following theorem:

Theorem A. *Any $Sp(V, \omega) \times Sp(V, \omega)$ - invariant distribution on $GL(V)$ is invariant with respect to transposition.*

It has the following corollary in representation theory:

Theorem B. *Let (V, ω) be a symplectic vector space and let E be an irreducible admissible smooth Fréchet representation of $GL(V)$. Then*

$$dimHom_{Sp(V)}(E, \mathbb{C}) \leq 1$$

Theorem B is deduced from Theorem A using the Gelfand-Kazhdan method (adapted to the archimedean case in [AGS]).

The analogue of Theorem A and Theorem B for non-archimedean fields were proven in [HR] using the method of Gelfand and Kazhdan. A simple argument over finite fields is explained in [GG] and using this a simpler proof of the non-archimedean case was written in [OS]. Recently, one of us, using the ideas of [AG2] extended the result to the case $F = \mathbb{C}$ (see [S]).

Our proof of Theorem A is based on the methods of [AG2]. In that work the notion of regular symmetric pair was introduced and shown to be a useful tool in the verification of the Gelfand property . Thus, the main result of the present work is the *regularity* of the symmetric pair $(GL(V), Sp(V, \omega)$. In previous works the proof of regularity of symmetric pairs was based either on some simple considerations or on a criterion that requires negativity of certain eigenvalues (this was implicit in [JR], [RR] and was explicated in [AG2], [AG3], [AG4], [S]).

The pair $(GL(V), Sp(V, \omega))$ does not satisfy the above mentioned criterion and requires new techniques.

1.1. Main ingredients of the proof.

To show regularity we study distributions on the space $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma = \{X \in gl_{2n} : JX = XJ\}$ where $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0_n & Id_n \\ -Id_n & 0_n \end{pmatrix}$. More precisely, we are interested in those distributions that are invariant with respect to the conjugation action of Sp_{2n} and supported on the nilpotent cone. To classify the nilpotent orbits of the action we use the method of [GG] to identify these orbits with nilpotent orbits of the adjoint action of GL_n on its Lie algebra. This allows us to show that there exists a unique *distinguished* nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}$ and that this orbit is open in the nilpotent cone. Next, we use the theory of D modules, as in [AG5], to prove that there are no distributions supported on non-distinguished orbits whose Fourier transform is also supported on non-distinguished orbits (see Theorem 3.0.11).

1.2. Structure of the paper.

In section 2 we give some preliminaries on distributions, symmetric pairs and Gelfand pairs. We introduce the notion of regular symmetric pairs and show that Theorem 7.4.5 of [AG2] and the results of [S] allow us to reduce the Gelfand property of the pair in question to proving that the pair is regular. In section 3 we prove the main technical result on distributions, Theorem 3.0.11. It states that under certain conditions there are no distributions supported on non-distinguished nilpotent orbits. The proof is based on the theory of D-modules. In section 4 we use Theorem 3.0.11 to prove that the pair $(GL(V), Sp(V, \omega))$ is regular.

1.3. Acknowledgements.

We thank Dmitry Gourevitch and Omer Offen for fruitful discussions. Part of the work on this paper was done while the authors visited the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn. The visit of the first named author was funded by the Bonn International Graduate School. The visit of the second named author was partially funded by the Landau center of the Hebrew University.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notations on invariant distributions.

2.1.1. *Schwartz distributions on Nash manifolds.*

We will use the theory of Schwartz functions and distributions as developed in [AG1]. This theory is developed for Nash manifolds. Nash manifolds are smooth semi-algebraic manifolds but in the present work only smooth real algebraic manifolds are considered. Therefore the reader can safely replace the word *Nash* by *smooth real algebraic*.

Schwartz functions are functions that decay, together with all their derivatives, faster than any polynomial. On $\mathbb{R}^n$ it is the usual notion of Schwartz function. For precise definitions of those notions we refer the reader to [AG1]. We will use the following notations.

Notation 2.1.1. *Let X be a Nash manifold. Denote by $\mathcal{S}(X)$ the Fréchet space of Schwartz functions on X.*

Denote by $\mathcal{S}^*(X) := \mathcal{S}(X)^*$ the space of Schwartz distributions on X.

For any Nash vector bundle E over X we denote by $\mathcal{S}(X, E)$ the space of Schwartz sections of E and by $\mathcal{S}^*(X, E)$ its dual space.

Notation 2.1.2. *Let X be a smooth manifold and let $Z \subset X$ be a closed subset. We denote $\mathcal{S}^*_X(Z) := \{\xi \in \mathcal{S}^*(X) | \mathrm{Supp}(\xi) \subset Z\}$.*

For a locally closed subset $Y \subset X$ we denote $\mathcal{S}^*_X(Y) := \mathcal{S}^*_{X \setminus (\overline{Y} \setminus Y)}(Y)$. In the same way, for any bundle E on X we define $\mathcal{S}^*_X(Y, E)$.

Remark 2.1.3. *Schwartz distributions have the following two advantages over general distributions:*
(i) For a Nash manifold X and an open Nash submanifold $U \subset X$, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{S}^*_X(X \setminus U) \to \mathcal{S}^*(X) \to \mathcal{S}^*(U) \to 0.$$

(ii) Fourier transform defines an isomorphism $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

2.1.2. *Basic tools.*
We present here some basic tools on equivariant distributions that we will use in this paper.

Proposition 2.1.4. *Let a Nash group G act on a Nash manifold X. Let $Z \subset X$ be a closed subset.*

Let $Z = \bigcup_{i=0}^{l} Z_i$ be a Nash G-invariant stratification of Z. Let χ be a character of G. Suppose that for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $0 \leq i \leq l$ we have $\mathcal{S}^*(Z_i, Sym^k(CN_{Z_i}^X))^{G,\chi} = 0$. Then $\mathcal{S}_X^*(Z)^{G,\chi} = 0$.

This proposition immediately follows from Corollary 7.2.6 in [AGS].

Theorem 2.1.5 (Frobenius reciprocity)**.** *Let a Nash group G act transitively on a Nash manifold Z. Let $\varphi : X \to Z$ be a G-equivariant Nash map. Let $z \in Z$. Let G_z be its stabilizer. Let X_z be the fiber of z. Let χ be a character of G. Then $\mathcal{S}^*(X)^{G,\chi}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{S}^*(X_z)^{G_z,\chi \cdot \Delta_G|_{G_z} \cdot \Delta_{G_z}^{-1}}$ where Δ denotes the modular character.*

For proof see [AG2], Theorem 2.3.8.

2.1.3. *Fourier transform.*
From now till the end of the paper we fix an additive character κ of $\mathbb{R}$ given by $\kappa(x) := e^{2\pi i x}$.

Notation 2.1.6. *Let V be a vector space over $\mathbb{R}$. Let B be a non-degenerate bilinear form on V. Then B defines Fourier transform with respect to the self-dual Haar measure on V. We denote it by $\mathcal{F}_B : \mathcal{S}^*(V) \to \mathcal{S}^*(V)$.*

For any Nash manifold M we also denote by $\mathcal{F}_B : \mathcal{S}^*(M \times V) \to \mathcal{S}^*(M \times V)$ the partial Fourier transform.

If there is no ambiguity, we will write $\mathcal{F}_V$, and sometimes just $\mathcal{F}$, instead of $\mathcal{F}_B$.

We will use the following trivial observation.

Lemma 2.1.7. *Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{R}$. Let a Nash group G act linearly on V. Let B be a G-invariant non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on V. Let M be a Nash manifold with an action of G. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{S}^*(V(\mathbb{R}) \times M)$ be a G-invariant distribution. Then $\mathcal{F}_B(\xi)$ is also G-invariant.*

2.2. **Gelfand pairs and invariant distributions.**
In this section we recall a technique due to Gelfand and Kazhdan (see [GK]) which allows to deduce statements in representation theory from statements on invariant distributions. For more detailed description see [AGS], section 2.

Definition 2.2.1. *Let G be a reductive group. By an **admissible representation of** G we mean an admissible smooth Fréchet representation of $G(\mathbb{R})$.*

We now introduce three notions of Gelfand pair.

Definition 2.2.2. *Let $H \subset G$ be a pair of reductive groups.*

- *We say that (G, H) satisfy* **GP1** *if for any irreducible admissible smooth Fréchet representation (π, E) of G we have*

$$\dim Hom_{H(\mathbb{R})}(E, \mathbb{C}) \leq 1$$

- *We say that (G, H) satisfy* **GP2** *if for any irreducible admissible smooth Fréchet representation (π, E) of G we have*
$$\dim Hom_{H(\mathbb{R})}(E, \mathbb{C}) \cdot \dim Hom_{H(\mathbb{R})}(\widetilde{E}, \mathbb{C}) \leq 1$$
- *We say that (G, H) satisfy* **GP3** *if for any irreducible* **unitary** *representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ of $G(\mathbb{R})$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ we have*
$$\dim Hom_{H(\mathbb{R})}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}, \mathbb{C}) \leq 1.$$

Property GP1 was established by Gelfand and Kazhdan in certain p-adic cases (see [GK]). Property GP2 was introduced in [Gro] in the p-adic setting. Property GP3 was studied extensively by various authors under the name **generalized Gelfand pair** both in the real and p-adic settings (see e.g.[vD, BvD]).

We have the following straightforward proposition.

Proposition 2.2.3. $GP1 \Rightarrow GP2 \Rightarrow GP3$.

We will use the following theorem from [AGS] which is a version of a classical theorem of Gelfand and Kazhdan.

Theorem 2.2.4. *Let $H \subset G$ be reductive groups and let τ be an involutive anti-automorphism of G and assume that $\tau(H) = H$. Suppose $\tau(\xi) = \xi$ for all bi $H(\mathbb{R})$-invariant distributions ξ on $G(\mathbb{R})$. Then (G, H) satisfies GP2.*

In our case GP2 is equivalent to GP1 by the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2.5. *Suppose $H \subset \mathrm{GL}_n$ is transpose invariant subgroup. Then $GP1$ is equivalent to $GP2$ for the pair (GL_n, H).*

For proof see [AGS], proposition 2.4.1.

Corollary 2.2.6. *Theorem A implies Theorem B.*

2.3. **Symmetric pairs.**

In this subsection we review some tools developed in [AG2] that enable to prove that, granting certain hypothesis, a symmetric pair is a Gelfand pair.

Definition 2.3.1. *A **symmetric pair** is a triple (G, H, θ) where $H \subset G$ are reductive groups, and θ is an involution of G such that $H = G^{\theta}$. In cases when there is no ambiguity we will omit θ*

*For a symmetric pair (G, H, θ) we define an anti-involution $\sigma : G \to G$ by $\sigma(g) := \theta(g^{-1})$, denote $\mathfrak{g} := LieG$, $\mathfrak{h} := LieH$, $\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma} := \{a \in \mathfrak{g} | \theta(a) = -a\}$. Note that H acts on $\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}$ by the adjoint action. Denote also $G^{\sigma} := \{g \in G | \sigma(g) = g\}$ and define a **symmetrization map** $s : G(\mathbb{R}) \to G^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R})$ by $s(g) := g\sigma(g)$.*

The following lemma is standard:

Lemma 2.3.2. *The symmetrization map $s : G \to G^{\sigma}$ is submersive and hence open.*

Definition 2.3.3. *Let (G_1, H_1, θ_1) and (G_2, H_2, θ_2) be symmetric pairs. We define their **product** to be the symmetric pair $(G_1 \times G_2, H_1 \times H_2, \theta_1 \times \theta_2)$.*

Definition 2.3.4. *We call a symmetric pair (G, H, θ) **good** if for any closed $H(\mathbb{R}) \times H(\mathbb{R})$ orbit $O \subset G(\mathbb{R})$, we have $\sigma(O) = O$.*

Definition 2.3.5. *We say that a symmetric pair* (G, H, θ) *is a* ***GK pair*** *if any* $H(\mathbb{R}) \times H(\mathbb{R})$ *- invariant distribution on* $G(\mathbb{R})$ *is* σ *- invariant.*

Definition 2.3.6. *We define an involution* $\theta : GL_{2n} \to GL_{2n}$ *by* $\theta(x) = Jx^tJ^{-1}$ *where* $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0_n & Id_n \\ -Id_n & 0_n \end{pmatrix}$. *Note that* $(GL_{2n}, Sp_{2n}, \theta)$ *is a symmetric pair.*

Theorem A can be rephrased in the following way:

Theorem A'. *The pair* (GL_{2n}, Sp_{2n}) *defined over* $\mathbb{R}$ *is a GK pair.*

2.3.1. *Descendants of symmetric pairs.*

Proposition 2.3.7. *Let* (G, H, θ) *be a symmetric pair. Let* $g \in G(\mathbb{R})$ *such that* HgH *is closed. Let* $x = s(g)$. *Then* x *is semisimple.*

For proof see e.g. [AG2], Proposition 7.2.1.

Definition 2.3.8. *In the notations of the previous proposition we will say that the pair* $(G_x, H_x, \theta|_{G_x})$ *is a descendant of* (G, H, θ). *Here* G_x *(and similarly for* H*) denotes the stabilizer of* x *in* G.

2.3.2. *Regular symmetric pairs.*

Notation 2.3.9. *Let* V *be an algebraic finite dimensional representation over* $\mathbb{R}$ *of a reductive group* G. *Denote* $Q(V) := V/V^G$. *Since* G *is reductive, there is a canonical embedding* $Q(V) \hookrightarrow V$.

Notation 2.3.10. *Let* (G, H, θ) *be a symmetric pair. We denote by* $\mathcal{N}_{G,H}$ *the subset of all the nilpotent elements in* $Q(\mathfrak{g}^\sigma)$. *Denote* $R_{G,H} := Q(\mathfrak{g}^\sigma) - \mathcal{N}_{G,H}$.

Our notion of $R_{G,H}$ coincides with the notion $R(\mathfrak{g}^\sigma)$ used in [AG2], Notation 2.1.10. This follows from Lemma 7.1.11 in [AG2].

Definition 2.3.11. *Let* π *be an action of a real reductive group* G *on a smooth affine variety* X. *We say that an algebraic automorphism* τ *of* X *is* G***-admissible*** *if*
(i) $\pi(G(\mathbb{R}))$ *is of index at most 2 in the group of automorphisms of* X *generated by* $\pi(G(\mathbb{R}))$ *and* τ.
(ii) For any closed $G(\mathbb{R})$ *orbit* $O \subset X(\mathbb{R})$, *we have* $\tau(O) = O$.

Definition 2.3.12. *Let* (G, H, θ) *be a symmetric pair. We call an element* $g \in G(\mathbb{R})$ ***admissible*** *if*
(i) $Ad(g)$ *commutes with* θ *(or, equivalently,* $s(g) \in Z(G)$*) and*
(ii) $Ad(g)|_{\mathfrak{g}^\sigma}$ *is* H*-admissible.*

Definition 2.3.13. *We call a symmetric pair* (G, H, θ) ***regular*** *if for any admissible* $g \in G(\mathbb{R})$ *such that every* $H(\mathbb{R})$*-invariant distribution on* $R_{G,H}$ *is also* $Ad(g)$*-invariant, we have*
(*) every $H(\mathbb{R})$*-invariant distribution on* $Q(\mathfrak{g}^\sigma)$ *is also* $Ad(g)$*-invariant.*

Clearly, the product of regular pairs is regular (see [AG2], Proposition 7.4.4).
We will deduce Theorem A' (and hence Theorem A) from the following Theorem:

Theorem C. *The pair* (GL_{2n}, Sp_{2n}) *defined over* $\mathbb{R}$ *is regular.*

The deduction is based on the following theorem (see [AG2], Theorem 7.4.5.):

Theorem 2.3.14. *Let (G, H, θ) be a good symmetric pair such that all its descendants (including itself) are regular. Then it is a GK pair.*

Corollary 2.3.15. *Theorem C implies Theorem A.*

Proof. The pair (GL_{2n}, Sp_{2n}) is good by Corollary 3.1.3 of [S]. In [S] it is shown that all the descendance of the pair (GL_{2n}, Sp_{2n}) are products of pairs of the form (GL_{2m}, Sp_{2m}) and $((GL_{2m})_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}, (Sp_{2m})_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}})$, here $G_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}$ denotes the restriction of scalars (in particular $G_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}) = G(\mathbb{C})$). By Corollary 3.3.1. of [S] the pair $((GL_{2m})_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}, (Sp_{2m})_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}})$ is regular. Now clearly Theorem C implies Theorem A' and hence Theorem A. ☐

We denote by $O(V)$ the space of regular functions on the algebraic variety V. We will also need the following Proposition, which must be well known.

Proposition 2.3.16. *Let $\pi : \mathfrak{g}^\sigma \to Spec(O(\mathfrak{g}^\sigma))^H$ be the projection. Let $x \in \mathcal{N}_{G,H}$ be a smooth point. Then π submersive at x.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{J} = \{f \in O(\mathfrak{g}^\sigma)^H : f(0) = 0\}$. By Theorem 14 of [KR], $\mathcal{J}$ is a radical ideal. Using the Nullstellensatz, this implies that $Ker(d_x\pi) = T_x(\mathcal{N}_{G,H})$. This proves that π is submersive. ☐

2.4. **Singular support of distributions.**
In this subsection we introduce an important invariant $SS(\xi)$ of a distribution ξ and list some of its properties. For more details see [AG5].

Notation 2.4.1. *Let X be a smooth algebraic variety. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{S}^*(X(\mathbb{R}))$. Let M_ξ be the D_X submodule of $\mathcal{S}^*(X(\mathbb{R}))$ generated by ξ. We denote by $SS(\xi) \subset T^*X$ the singular support of M_ξ (for the definition see* [Bor]*). We will call it the* **singular support** *of ξ.*

Remark 2.4.2.
(i) A similar, but not equivalent notion is sometimes called in the literature a 'wave front of ξ'.
(ii) In some of the literature, singular support of a distribution is a subset of X not to be confused with our $SS(\xi)$ which is a subset of T^*X. We use terminology from the theory of D modules where the set $SS(\xi)$ is called both the characteristic variety and the singular support of the D module M_ξ.

Notation 2.4.3. *Let (V, B) be a quadratic space. Let X be a smooth algebraic variety. Consider B as a map $B : V \to V^*$. Identify $T^*(X \times V)$ with $T^*X \times V \times V^*$. We define $F_V : T^*(X \times V) \to T^*(X \times V)$ by $F_V(\alpha, v, \phi) := (\alpha, -B^{-1}\phi, Bv)$.*

Definition 2.4.4. *Let M be a smooth algebraic variety and ω be a symplectic form on it. Let $Z \subset M$ be an algebraic subvariety. We call it M-***co-isotropic** *if one of the following equivalent conditions holds.*

(1) *The ideal sheaf of regular functions that vanish on $\overline{Z}$ is closed under Poisson bracket.*

(2) *At every smooth point* $z \in Z$ *we have* $T_zZ \supset (T_zZ)^{\perp}$. *Here,* $(T_zZ)^{\perp}$ *denotes the orthogonal complement to* (T_zZ) *in* (T_zM) *with respect to* ω.

(3) *For a generic smooth point* $z \in Z$ *we have* $T_zZ \supset (T_zZ)^{\perp}$.

If there is no ambiguity, we will call Z *a co-isotropic variety.*

Note that every non-empty M-co-isotropic variety is of dimension at least $\frac{1}{2} dim M$.

Notation 2.4.5. *For a smooth algebraic variety* X *we always consider the standard symplectic form on* T^*X. *Also, we denote by* $p_X : T^*X \to X$ *the standard projection.*

Let X be a smooth algebraic variety. Below is a list of properties of the Singular support. Proofs can be found in [AG5] section 2.3 and Appendix B.

Property 2.4.6. *Let* $\xi \in \mathcal{S}^*(X(\mathbb{R}))$. *Then* $\overline{\mathrm{Supp}(\xi)}_{Zar} = p_X(SS(\xi))(\mathbb{R})$, *where* $\overline{\mathrm{Supp}(\xi)}_{Zar}$ *denotes the Zariski closure of* $\mathrm{Supp}(\xi)$.

Property 2.4.7.
Let an algebraic group G *act on* X. *Let* $\mathfrak{g}$ *denote the Lie algebra of* G. *Let* $\xi \in \mathcal{S}^*(X(\mathbb{R}))^{G(\mathbb{R})}$. *Then*

$$SS(\xi) \subset \{(x, \phi) \in T^*X \mid \forall \alpha \in \mathfrak{g}\, \phi(\alpha(x)) = 0\}.$$

Property 2.4.8. *Let* (V, B) *be a quadratic space. Let* $Z \subset X \times V$ *be a closed subvariety, invariant with respect to homotheties in* V. *Suppose that* $\mathrm{Supp}(\xi) \subset Z(\mathbb{R})$. *Then* $SS(\mathcal{F}_V(\xi)) \subset F_V(p_{X\times V}^{-1}(Z))$.

Finally, the following is a corollary of the integrability theorem ([KKS], [Mal], [Gab]):

Property 2.4.9. *Let* X *be a smooth algebraic variety. Let* $\xi \in \mathcal{S}^*(X(\mathbb{R}))$. *Then* $SS(\xi)$ *is co-isotropic.*

3. Invariant distributions supported on non-distinguished nilpotent orbits in symmetric pairs

For this section we fix a symmetric pair (G, H, θ).

Definition 3.0.10. *We say that a nilpotent element* $x \in \mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}$ *is distinguished if*

$$\mathfrak{g}_x \cap Q(\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}) \subset \mathcal{N}_{G,H}$$

Theorem 3.0.11. *Let* $A \subset \mathcal{N}_{G,H}$ *be an* H *invariant closed subset and assume that all elements of* A *are non-distinguished. Let* $W = \mathcal{S}^*_{\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}}(A)^H$. *Then* $W \cap \mathcal{F}(W) = \{0\}$.

Remark 3.0.12. *We believe that the methods of* [SZ] *allow to show the same result without the assumption of* H*-invariance.*

The proof is based on the following proposition:

Proposition 3.0.13. *Let* $A \subset \mathcal{N}_{G,H}$ *be an* H *invariant closed subset and assume that all elements of* A *are non-distinguished. Denote by* $B = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in A \times A : [\alpha, \beta] = 0\} \subset Q(\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}) \times Q(\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma})$. *Identify* $T^*(Q(\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}))$ *with* $Q(\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}) \times Q(\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma})$. *Then there is no non-empty* $T^*(Q(\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}))$*-co-isotropic subvariety of* B.

Proof. Stratify A by finite many orbits $\mathcal{O}_1, ..., \mathcal{O}_r$. Let $p : A \times A \to A$ be the projection onto the first factor. By inductive argument it is enough to show that, for any orbit $\mathcal{O}$, $p^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ does not include a non empty co-isotropic subvariety. Consider the set

$$C_{\mathcal{O}} = \{(a, b) : a \in \mathcal{O}, b \in Q(\mathfrak{g}^\sigma), [a, b] = 0\}.$$

Then $dim(C_{\mathcal{O}}) = dim(Q(\mathfrak{g}^\sigma))$. Since $\mathcal{O}$ is not distinguished, $p^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ is a closed subvariety of $C_{\mathcal{O}}$ which does not include any of the irreducible components of $C_{\mathcal{O}}$. This finishes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 3.0.11. Let $\xi \in W \cap \mathcal{F}(W)$ and let B be as in proposition 3.0.13. By properties 2.4.6, 2.4.7, 2.4.8 we conclude that $SS(\xi) \subset B$. But by Property 2.4.9 it is co-isotropic and hence by Proposition 3.0.13 it is empty. Thus $\xi = 0$. □

4. Regularity

In this section we prove the main result of the paper:

Theorem C. *The pair (GL_{2n}, Sp_{2n}) defined over $\mathbb{R}$ is regular.*

For the rest of this section we let (G, H) to be the symmetric pair $(GL_{2n}(\mathbb{R}), Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$.

4.1. H orbits on $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$.

Proposition 4.1.1. *There exists a unique distinguished H-orbit in $\mathcal{N}_{G,H}(\mathbb{R})$. This orbit is open in $\mathcal{N}_{G,H}(\mathbb{R})$ and invariant with respect to any admissible $g \in G$.*

For the proof we will use the following Proposition (this is Proposition 2.1 of [GG]):

Proposition 4.1.2. *Let F be an arbitrary field. For $x \in GL_n(F)$ define*

$$\gamma(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Then γ induces a bijection between the set of conjugacy classes in $GL_n(F)$ and the set of orbits of $Sp_{2n}(F) \times Sp_{2n}(F)$ in $GL_{2n}(F)$.

Corollary 4.1.3. *Let $d : \mathrm{gl}_n \to \mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ be defined by*

$$d(X) = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & X^t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then d induces a bijection between nilpotent conjugacy classes in gl_n and H orbits in $\mathcal{N}_{G,H}$.

Proof. Let $s : GL_{2n} \to GL_{2n}^\sigma$ be given by $s(g) = g\sigma(g)$. Let $W = s(GL_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$. By Proposition 4.1.2, the map $s \circ \gamma$ induces a bijection between conjugacy classes in $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ and H orbits on W.

Let $e : \mathcal{N} \to GL_n$ be given by $e(X) = 1 + X$ where $\mathcal{N}$ is the cone of nilpotent elements in gl_n. Let $\ell : W \to \mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ given by $\ell(w) = w - 1$.

Then, it is easy to see that the map $d|_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}_{G,H}$ coincides with the composition $\ell \circ s \circ \gamma \circ e$.

To finish the proof of the Proposition it is enough to show that $\ell(W)$ contains all nilpotent elements. Indeed, by lemma 2.3.2 the set $W = s(GL_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$ is open and thus $\ell(W)$ is open and hence contains all nilpotent elements. □

We are now ready to prove the proposition.

Proof of Proposition 4.1.1. It is easy to see that if X is non regular nilpotent then $d(X)$ is not distinguished. Also, a simple verification shows that if $X = J_n$ is a standard Jordan block then $d(J_n)$ is distinguished. Thus we only need to show that $C = Ad(H)d(J_n)$ is open in $\mathcal{N}_{G,H}$. For this we will show that C is dense in $\mathcal{N}_{G,H}$. Indeed, $\bar{C} \supset d(\overline{Ad(GL_n)J_n}) = d(\mathcal{N})$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is the set of nilpotent elements in gl_n. But C is $Ad(H)$-invariant and this implies that $\bar{C} = \mathcal{N}_{G,H}$ □

4.2. **Proof of Theorem C.**

The theorem follows from Theorem 3.0.11 and the next Proposition:

Proposition 4.2.1. *Let $g \in G$ be an admissible element. Let A be the union of all non-distinguished elements. Note that A is closed. Let ξ be any H invariant distribution on $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ which is anti-invariant with respect to $Ad(g)$. Then $Supp(\xi) \subset A$.*

Proof. Let $O_0 \subset \mathcal{N}_{G,H}$ be the distinguished orbit. Let $\widetilde{H} = \langle Ad(H), Ad(g) \rangle$ be the group of automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ generated by the adjoint action of H and g. Let χ be the character of $\widetilde{H}$ defined by $\chi(\widetilde{H} - H) = -1$. We need to show

$$\mathcal{S}^*_{Q(g^\sigma)}(O_0)^{\widetilde{H},\chi} = 0$$

By Proposition 2.1.4 it is enough to show

$$\mathcal{S}^*(O_0, Sym^k(CN_{O_0}^{Q(\mathfrak{g}^\sigma)}))^{\widetilde{H},\chi} = 0$$

Notice that $\widetilde{H}$ acts trivially on $Spec(O(\mathfrak{g}^\sigma))^H$. Hence, by Proposition 2.3.16 the bundle $N_{O_0}^{Q(\mathfrak{g}^\sigma)}$ is trivial as a $\widetilde{H}$ bundle. This completes the proof. □

References

[AG1] A. Aizenbud, D. Gourevitch, *Schwartz functions on Nash Manifolds,* International Mathematic Research Notes (2008) DOI: 10.1093/imrn/rnm155. See also arXiv:0704.2891 [math.AG].

[AG2] Aizenbud, A.; Gourevitch, D.: *Generalized Harish-Chandra descent and applications to Gelfand pairs.*, arXiv:0803.3395v6 [math.RT], submitted.

[AG3] A. Aizenbud, D. Gourevitch, *An archimedean analog of Jacquet - Rallis theorem*, arXiv:0803.3397v3 [math.RT], submitted.

[AG4] A. Aizenbud, D. Gourevitch, *Some regular symmetric pairs*, arXiv:0805.2504 [math.RT], submitted.

[AG5] A. Aizenbud, D. Gourevitch, *Multiplicity one theorem for* $(GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}), GL_n(\mathbb{R}))$, arXiv:0808.2729v1 [math.RT], submitted.

[AGS] A. Aizenbud, D. Gourevitch, E. Sayag : $(GL_{n+1}(F), GL(F))$ *is a Gelfand pair for any local field* F. arXiv:0709.1273v3 [math.RT], to appear in Compositio Mathematica.

[BvD] E. E H. Bosman and G. Van Dijk, *A New Class of Gelfand Pairs,* Geometriae Dedicata **50**, 261-282, 261 @ 1994 KluwerAcademic Publishers. Printed in the Netherlands (1994).

[Bor] A. Borel (1987), *Algebraic D-Modules*, Perspectives in Mathematics, 2, Boston, MA: Academic Press, ISBN 0121177408

[BZ] J. Bernstein, A.V. Zelevinsky, *Representations of the group* $\mathrm{GL}(n, F)$*, where* F *is a local non-Archimedean field,* Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **10**, No.3, 5-70 (1976).

[Gab] O.Gabber, *The integrability of the characteristic variety.* Amer. J. Math. **103** (1981), no. 3, 445–468.

[GK] I. M. Gelfand and D. A. Kajdan. Representations of the group $\mathrm{GL}(n, K)$ where K is a local field. In *Lie groups and their representations (Proc. Summer School, Bolyai János Math. Soc., Budapest, 1971)*, pages 95–118. Halsted, New York, 1975.

[Gro] B. Gross, *Some applications of Gelfand pairs to number theory,* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **24**, no. 2, 277–301 (1991).

[GG] Daniel Goldstein and Robert M. Guralnick. Alternating forms and self-adjoint operators. *J. Algebra*, 308(1):330–349, 2007.

[HR] Michael J. Heumos and Stephen Rallis. Symplectic-Whittaker models for Gl_n. *Pacific J. Math.*, 146(2):247–279, 1990.

[JR] H. Jacquet, S. Rallis, *Uniqueness of linear periods*, Compositio Mathematica , tome **102**, n.o. 1 , p. 65-123 (1996)

[KKS] M. Kashiwara, T. Kawai, and M. Sato, *Hyperfunctions and pseudo-differential equations* (Katata, 1971), pp. 265–529, Lecture Notes in Math., **287**, Springer, Berlin, 1973;

[KR] B. Kostant and S. Rallis, Orbits and representations associated with symmetric spaces, Amer. J. Math. 93 (1971), 753809.

[Mal] B. Malgrange *L'involutivite des caracteristiques des systemes differentiels et microdifferentiels* Séminaire Bourbaki 30è Année (1977/78), Exp. No. 522, Lecture Notes in Math., **710**, Springer, Berlin, 1979;

[OS] Omer Offen and Eitan Sayag. Uniqueness and disjointness of klyachko models. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, accepted February 2008.

[RR] C. Rader and S. Rallis :*Spherical Characters On p-Adic Symmetric Spaces*, American Journal of Mathematics **118** (1996), 91178.

[S] E. Sayag : *$(GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C}), Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C}))$ is a Gelfand pair.* arXiv:0805.2625 [math.RT], submitted.

[Sp] T. Springer, *Galois cohomology of linear algebraic groups.*, in Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Groups, A. Borel, G. D. Mostow, editors, AMS Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math., no. 9, (1966), 149-158

[St] R. Steinberg, *Conjugacy classes in Algebraic Groups.* 1974, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. **366**, Springer, Berlin.

[SpSt] T. A. Springer, R. Steinberg, *Conjugacy classes.* 1970, Seminar on Algebraic Groups and Related Finite Groups (The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, N.J., 1968/69) pp. 167-266 Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. **131**, Springer, Berlin.

[SZ] B. Sun and C.-B. Zhu *Multiplicity one theorems: the archimedean case*, preprint aviablable at $http://www.math.nus.edu.sg/\sim matzhucb/Multiplicity\_One.pdf$

[vD] van Dijk, *On a class of generalized Gelfand pairs*, Math. Z. **193**, 581-593 (1986).

Avraham Aizenbud, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, The Weizmann Institute of Science POB 26, Rehovot 76100, ISRAEL.
E-mail address: aizenr@yahoo.com

Eitan Sayag, Einstein Institute of Mathematics, Edmond J. Safra Campus, Givat Ram, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, 91904, Israel
E-mail address: sayag@math.huji.ac.il