

Rigid Dualizing Complexes via Differential Graded Algebras

Lecture Notes ¹

Amnon Yekutieli
Ben Gurion University, ISRAEL
<http://www.math.bgu.ac.il/~amyekut>

Here is the plan of my lecture:

1. Dualizing Complexes: Overview
2. Rigid Complexes and DG Algebras
3. Properties of Rigid Complexes
4. Rigid Dualizing Complexes
5. Rigid Complexes and CM Homomorphisms

1. DUALIZING COMPLEXES: OVERVIEW

Let A be a noetherian commutative ring. Denote by $D_f^b(\text{Mod } A)$ the derived category of bounded complexes of A -modules with finitely generated cohomology modules.

Definition 1. (Grothendieck [RD]) A *dualizing complex* over A is a complex $R \in D_f^b(\text{Mod } A)$ satisfying the two conditions:

- (i) R has finite injective dimension.
- (ii) The canonical morphism $A \rightarrow \text{RHom}_A(R, R)$ is an isomorphism.

Condition (i) means that there is an integer d such that $\text{Ext}_A^i(M, R) = 0$ for all $i > d$ and all modules M .

Example 2. If \mathbb{K} is a regular noetherian ring of finite Krull dimension (say a field, or the ring of integers \mathbb{Z}) then

$$R := \mathbb{K} \in D_f^b(\text{Mod } \mathbb{K})$$

is a dualizing complex.

Dualizing complexes over commutative rings are part of Grothendieck's duality theory in algebraic geometry, which was developed in [RD]. This duality theory deals with dualizing complexes on schemes and relations between them.

In this lecture I will explain a new approach to dualizing complexes over commutative rings, due to James Zhang and myself (see [YZ4] and [YZ5]). Specifically, I'll talk about existence and uniqueness of *rigid dualizing complexes*.

The purpose of rigidity is to eliminate automorphisms, and to make the dualizing complexes functorial.

¹written: 14 Jan 2007

In a sequel paper [Ye2] we use the technique of *perverse coherent sheaves* to construct rigid dualizing complexes on schemes, and we reproduce almost all of the geometric Grothendieck duality theory. But that's a subject for a separate lecture.

Related work in noncommutative algebraic geometry (where rigid dualizing complexes were first introduced) can be found in [VdB, YZ1, YZ2, YZ3].

2. RIGID COMPLEXES AND DG ALGEBRAS

By default all rings considered in this talk are commutative.

Let me start with a discussion of rigidity for algebras over a field. Suppose \mathbb{K} is a field, B is a \mathbb{K} -algebra, and $M \in \mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } B)$.

According to Van den Bergh [VdB] a *rigidifying isomorphism* for M is an isomorphism

$$(1) \quad \rho : M \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{B \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} B}(B, M \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} M)$$

in $\mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } B)$.

Now suppose A is any ring.

Trying to write A instead of \mathbb{K} in formula (1) does not make sense: instead of $M \otimes_A M$ we must take the derived tensor product $M \otimes_A^L M$; but then there is no obvious way to make $M \otimes_A^L M$ into a complex of $B \otimes_A B$ -modules.

The problem is torsion: B might fail to be a flat A -algebra.

This is where *differential graded algebras* (DG algebras) enter the picture.

A DG algebra is a graded ring $\tilde{A} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{A}^i$, together with a graded derivation $d : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{A}$ of degree 1, satisfying $d \circ d = 0$.

A DG algebra quasi-isomorphism is a homomorphism $f : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{B}$ respecting degrees, multiplications and differentials, and such that $H(f) : H\tilde{A} \rightarrow H\tilde{B}$ is an isomorphism (of graded algebras).

We shall only consider *super-commutative non-positive* DG algebras. Super-commutative means that $ab = (-1)^{ij}ba$ and $c^2 = 0$ for all $a \in \tilde{A}^i$, $b \in \tilde{A}^j$ and $c \in \tilde{A}^{2i+1}$. Non-positive means that $\tilde{A} = \bigoplus_{i \leq 0} \tilde{A}^i$.

We view a ring A as a DG algebra concentrated in degree 0. Given a DG algebra homomorphism $A \rightarrow \tilde{A}$ we say that \tilde{A} is a DG A -algebra.

Let A be a ring. A *semi-free* DG A -algebra is a DG A -algebra \tilde{A} , such that after forgetting the differential \tilde{A} is isomorphic, as graded A -algebra, to a super-polynomial algebra on some graded set of variables.

Definition 3. Let A be a ring and B an A -algebra. A *semi-free DG algebra resolution of B relative to A* is a quasi-isomorphism $\tilde{B} \rightarrow B$ of DG A -algebras, where \tilde{B} is a semi-free DG A -algebra.

Such resolutions always exist, and they are unique up to quasi-isomorphism.

Example 4. Take $A := \mathbb{Z}$ and $B = \mathbb{Z}/(6)$. Define \tilde{B} to be the super-polynomial algebra $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$ on the variable ξ of degree -1 . So $\tilde{B} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\xi$ as free \mathbb{Z} -module, and

$\xi^2 = 0$. Let $d(\xi) := 6$. Then $\tilde{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/(6)$ is a semi-free DG algebra resolution of $\mathbb{Z}/(6)$ relative to \mathbb{Z} .

For a DG algebra A one has the category $\text{DGMod } \tilde{A}$ of DG \tilde{A} -modules. It is analogous to the category of complexes of modules over a ring, and by a similar process of inverting quasi-isomorphisms we obtain the derived category $\tilde{D}(\text{DGMod } \tilde{A})$; see [Ke], [Hi].

For a ring A (a DG algebra concentrated in degree 0) we have

$$\tilde{D}(\text{DGMod } A) = \text{D}(\text{Mod } A),$$

the usual derived category.

It is possible to derive functors of DG modules, again in analogy to $\text{D}(\text{Mod } A)$.

An added feature is that for a quasi-isomorphism $\tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{B}$ the restriction of scalars functor

$$\tilde{D}(\text{DGMod } \tilde{B}) \rightarrow \tilde{D}(\text{DGMod } \tilde{A})$$

is an equivalence.

Getting back to our original problem, suppose A is a ring and B is an A -algebra. Choose a semi-free DG algebra resolution $\tilde{B} \rightarrow B$ relative to A . For $M \in \text{D}(\text{Mod } B)$ define

$$\text{Sq}_{B/A} M := \text{RHom}_{\tilde{B} \otimes_A \tilde{B}}(B, M \otimes_A^L M)$$

in $\text{D}(\text{Mod } B)$.

Theorem 5. ([YZ4]) *The functor*

$$\text{Sq}_{B/A} : \text{D}(\text{Mod } B) \rightarrow \text{D}(\text{Mod } B)$$

is independent of the resolution $\tilde{B} \rightarrow B$.

The functor $\text{Sq}_{B/A}$, called the *squaring operation*, is nonlinear. In fact, given a morphism $\phi : M \rightarrow M$ in $\text{D}(\text{Mod } B)$ and an element $b \in B$ one has

$$(2) \quad \text{Sq}_{B/A}(b\phi) = b^2 \text{Sq}_{B/A}(\phi)$$

in

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{D}(\text{Mod } B)}(\text{Sq}_{B/A} M, \text{Sq}_{B/A} M).$$

Definition 6. Let B be a noetherian A -algebra, and let M be a complex in $\text{D}_f^b(\text{Mod } B)$ that has finite flat dimension over A . Assume

$$\rho : M \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Sq}_{B/A} M$$

is an isomorphism in $\text{D}(\text{Mod } B)$. Then the pair (M, ρ) is called a *rigid complex over B relative to A* .

Definition 7. Say (M, ρ) and (N, σ) are rigid complexes over B relative to A . A morphism $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ in $\text{D}(\text{Mod } B)$ is called a *rigid morphism relative to A* if the

diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathrm{Sq}_{B/A} M \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{Sq}_{B/A}(\phi) \\ N & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathrm{Sq}_{B/A} N \end{array}$$

is commutative.

We denote by $D_f^b(\mathrm{Mod} B)_{\mathrm{rig}/A}$ the category of rigid complexes over B relative to A .

Example 8. Take $M = B := A$. Then

$$\mathrm{Sq}_{A/A} A = \mathrm{RHom}_{A \otimes_A A}(A, A \otimes_A A) = A,$$

and we interpret this as the tautological rigidifying isomorphism

$$\rho^{\mathrm{tau}} : A \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Sq}_{A/A} A.$$

The *tautological rigid complex* is

$$(A, \rho^{\mathrm{tau}}) \in D_f^b(\mathrm{Mod} A)_{\mathrm{rig}/A}.$$

3. PROPERTIES OF RIGID COMPLEXES

The first property of rigid complexes explains their name.

Theorem 9. ([YZ4]) *Let A be a ring, B a noetherian A -algebra, and*

$$(M, \rho) \in D_f^b(\mathrm{Mod} B)_{\mathrm{rig}/A}.$$

Assume the canonical homomorphism

$$B \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{Mod} B)}(M, M)$$

is bijective. Then the only automorphism of (M, ρ) in

$$D_f^b(\mathrm{Mod} B)_{\mathrm{rig}/A}$$

is the identity $\mathbf{1}_M$.

The proof is very easy: an automorphism ϕ of M has to be of the form $\phi = b \mathbf{1}_M$ for some invertible element $b \in B$. If ϕ is rigid then $b = b^2$ (cf. formula (2)), and hence $b = 1$.

We find it convenient to denote ring homomorphisms by f^* etc. Thus a ring homomorphism $f^* : A \rightarrow B$ corresponds to the morphism of schemes

$$f : \mathrm{Spec} B \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} A.$$

Let A be a noetherian ring. Recall that an A -algebra B is called essentially finite type if it is a localization of some finitely generated A -algebra.

We say that B is *essentially smooth* (resp. *essentially étale*) over A if it is essentially finite type and formally smooth (resp. formally étale).

Example 10. If A' is a localization of A then $A \rightarrow A'$ is essentially étale. If $B = A[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ is a polynomial algebra then $A \rightarrow B$ is smooth, and hence also essentially smooth.

Let A be a noetherian ring and $f^* : A \rightarrow B$ an essentially smooth homomorphism. Then $\Omega_{B/A}^1$ is a finitely generated projective B -module.

Let

$$\mathrm{Spec} B = \coprod_i \mathrm{Spec} B_i$$

be the decomposition into connected components, and for every i let n_i be the rank of $\Omega_{B_i/A}^1$. We define a functor

$$f^\sharp : \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{Mod} A) \rightarrow \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{Mod} B)$$

by

$$f^\sharp M := \bigoplus_i \Omega_{B_i/A}^{n_i}[n_i] \otimes_A M.$$

Recall that a ring homomorphism $f^* : A \rightarrow B$ is called finite if B is a finitely generated A -module. Given such a finite homomorphism we define a functor

$$f^\flat : \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{Mod} A) \rightarrow \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{Mod} B)$$

by

$$f^\flat M := \mathrm{RHom}_A(B, M).$$

Theorem 11. ([YZ4]) *Let A be a noetherian ring, let B, C be essentially finite type A -algebras, let $f^* : B \rightarrow C$ be an A -algebra homomorphism, and let*

$$(M, \rho) \in \mathrm{D}_f^b(\mathrm{Mod} B)_{\mathrm{rig}/A}.$$

- (1) *If f^* is finite and $f^\flat M$ has finite flat dimension over A , then $f^\flat M$ has an induced rigidifying isomorphism*

$$f^\flat(\rho) : f^\flat M \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Sq}_{C/A} f^\flat M.$$

- (2) *If f^* is essentially smooth then $f^\sharp M$ has an induced rigidifying isomorphism*

$$f^\sharp(\rho) : f^\sharp M \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Sq}_{C/A} f^\sharp M.$$

4. RIGID DUALIZING COMPLEXES

Let \mathbb{K} be a noetherian regular ring of finite Krull dimension. We denote by $\mathrm{EFTAlg}/\mathbb{K}$ the category of essentially finite type \mathbb{K} -algebras.

Definition 12. A *rigid dualizing complex* over A relative to \mathbb{K} is a rigid complex (R_A, ρ_A) such that R_A is a dualizing complex.

Theorem 13. ([YZ5]) *Let \mathbb{K} be a regular finite dimensional noetherian ring, and let A be an essentially finite type \mathbb{K} -algebra.*

- (1) *The algebra A has a rigid dualizing complex (R_A, ρ_A) , which is unique up to a unique rigid isomorphism.*

- (2) Given a finite homomorphism $f^* : A \rightarrow B$, there is a unique rigid isomorphism $f^\flat(R_A, \rho_A) \xrightarrow{\cong} (R_B, \rho_B)$.
- (3) Given an essentially smooth homomorphism $f^* : A \rightarrow B$, there is a unique rigid isomorphism $f^\sharp(R_A, \rho_A) \xrightarrow{\cong} (R_B, \rho_B)$.

Here is how the rigid dualizing complex (R_A, ρ_A) is obtained. We begin with the tautological rigid complex

$$(\mathbb{K}, \rho^{\text{tau}}) \in D_f^b(\text{Mod } \mathbb{K})_{\text{rig}/\mathbb{K}},$$

which is dualizing. Now the structural homomorphism $\mathbb{K} \rightarrow A$ can be factored into

$$\mathbb{K} \xrightarrow{f^*} B \xrightarrow{g^*} C \xrightarrow{h^*} A,$$

where f^* is smooth (B is a polynomial algebra over \mathbb{K}); g^* is finite (a surjection); and h^* is also smooth (a localization). Then

$$(R_A, \rho_A) := h^\sharp g^\flat f^\sharp(\mathbb{K}, \rho^{\text{tau}}) \in D_f^b(\text{Mod } A)_{\text{rig}/\mathbb{K}}.$$

Definition 14. Given a homomorphism $f^* : A \rightarrow B$ in EFTAlg/\mathbb{K} , define the *twisted inverse image functor*

$$f^! : D_f^+(\text{Mod } A) \rightarrow D_f^+(\text{Mod } B)$$

by the formula

$$f^! M := \text{RHom}_B(B \otimes_A^L \text{RHom}_A(M, R_A), R_B).$$

It is not hard to show that the assignment $f^* \mapsto f^!$ is a 2-functor from the category EFTAlg/\mathbb{K} to the 2-category Cat of all categories.

One can show, using Theorem 13, that this operation has very good properties. For instance, when f^* is finite, then there is a functorial, nondegenerate trace morphism

$$\text{Tr}_f : f^! M \rightarrow M.$$

5. RIGID COMPLEXES AND CM HOMOMORPHISMS

In this final section I'll talk about the relation between rigid complexes and Cohen-Macaulay homomorphisms.

Definition 15. A ring A is called *tractable* if there is an essentially finite type homomorphism $\mathbb{K} \rightarrow A$, for some regular noetherian ring of finite Krull dimension \mathbb{K} .

The homomorphism $\mathbb{K} \rightarrow A$ is *not* part of the structure – there is no preferred \mathbb{K} . “Most commutative noetherian rings we know” are tractable...

Theorem 16. ([YZ5], [Ye2]) *Let A be a tractable ring, and let B be an essentially finite type A -algebra of finite flat dimension (e.g. B is flat over A).*

- (1) *There exists a unique (up to unique rigid isomorphism) rigid complex $R_{B/A}$ over B relative to A , which is nonzero on each connected component of $\text{Spec } B$.*

(2) If A is a Gorenstein ring (e.g. a regular ring) then $R_{B/A}$ is a dualizing complex over B

Let $f^* : A \rightarrow B$ be a finite type flat homomorphism of relative dimension n ; namely the fibers of $f : \text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ are all equidimensional of dimension n .

Recall that f^* is called a *Cohen-Macaulay* homomorphism if the fibers of f are all n -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay schemes.

Theorem 17. ([Ye2]) *Let A be a tractable ring, and let $f^* : A \rightarrow B$ be a finite type flat homomorphism of relative dimension n . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) f^* is a Cohen-Macaulay homomorphism.
- (ii) $H^i R_{B/A} = 0$ for all $i \neq -n$, and the B -module

$$\omega_{B/A} := H^{-n} R_{B/A}$$

is flat over A .

The module $\omega_{B/A}$ is the *dualizing module* of B relative to A .

Note that the complex $\omega_{B/A}[n]$ is rigid relative to A , but in general it is not a dualizing complex (cf. part (2) of previous theorem.) Still the fibers of $\omega_{B/A}[n]$ are dualizing complexes – this can be seen by taking A' to be a field in the next result.

Let me end with a “rigid” version of Conrad’s base change theorem [Co]:

Theorem 18. ([Ye2]) *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A' & \longrightarrow & B' \end{array}$$

be a cartesian diagram of rings, i.e.

$$B' \cong A' \otimes_A B,$$

with A and A' tractable rings. Assume $A \rightarrow B$ is a Cohen-Macaulay homomorphism. (There isn’t any restriction on the homomorphism $A \rightarrow A'$.) Then:

- (1) $A' \rightarrow B'$ is a Cohen-Macaulay homomorphism.
- (2) There is a unique isomorphism of B' -modules

$$\omega_{B'/A'} \cong A' \otimes_A \omega_{B/A}$$

which respects rigidity.

REFERENCES

- [AK] A. Altman and S. Kleiman, “Introduction to Grothendieck Duality,” Lecture Notes in Math. **20**, Springer, 1970.
- [AJL] L. Alonso, A. Jeremias and J. Lipman, Duality and flat base change on formal schemes, in “Studies in Duality on Noetherian Formal Schemes and Non-Noetherian Ordinary Schemes,” Contemp. Math. **244**, Amer. Math. Soc., 1999, 3-90.
- [Be] K. Behrend, Differential Graded Schemes I, preprint.
- [Co] B. Conrad, “Grothendieck Duality and Base Change,” Lecture Notes in Math. **1750**, Springer, 2000.

- [Hi] V. Hinich, Homological algebra of homotopy algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **25** (1997), no. 10, 3291-3323.
- [HS] R. Hübl and P. Sastry, Regular differential forms and relative duality, *Amer. J. Math.* **115** (1993), no. 4, 749-787.
- [HK] R. Hübl and E. Kunz, Regular differential forms and duality for projective morphisms, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **410** (1990), 84-108.
- [Hu] R. Hübl, "Traces of Differential Forms and Hochschild Homology," *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1368**, Springer, 1989.
- [Ke] B. Keller, Deriving DG categories, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **27** (1994), no. 1, 63-102.
- [Li] J. Lipman, "Residues and Traces of Differential Forms via Hochschild Homology," *Contemporary Mathematics* **61**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [Ne] A. Neeman, The Grothendieck duality theorem via Bousfield's techniques and Brown representability, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), no. 1, 205-236.
- [RD] R. Hartshorne, "Residues and Duality," *Lecture Notes in Math.* **20**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.
- [VdB] M. Van den Bergh, Existence theorems for dualizing complexes over non-commutative graded and filtered ring, *J. Algebra* **195** (1997), no. 2, 662-679.
- [Ye1] A. Yekutieli, "An Explicit Construction of the Grothendieck Residue Complex" (with an appendix by P. Sastry), *Astérisque* **208** (1992).
- [Ye2] A. Yekutieli, Rigidity, Residues, and Grothendieck Duality for Schemes, in preparation.
- [YZ1] A. Yekutieli and J.J. Zhang, Rings with Auslander dualizing complexes, *J. Algebra* **213** (1999), no. 1, 1-51.
- [YZ2] A. Yekutieli and J.J. Zhang, Rigid Dualizing Complexes and Perverse Sheaves over Differential Algebras, *Compositio Math.* **141** (2005), 620-654.
- [YZ3] A. Yekutieli and J.J. Zhang, Dualizing Complexes and Perverse Sheaves on Noncommutative Ringed Schemes, *Selecta Math.* **12** (2006), 137-177.
- [YZ4] A. Yekutieli and J.J. Zhang, Rigid Complexes via DG Algebras, to appear in *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* Eprint math.AC/0603733 at <http://arXiv.org>.
- [YZ5] A. Yekutieli and J.J. Zhang, Rigid Dualizing Complexes over Commutative Rings, eprint math.AG/0601654 <http://arXiv.org>.